

REMARKS

Claims 14-18, 26-33 and 36-39 currently appear in this application. The Office Action of May 9, 2007, has been carefully studied. These claims define novel and unobvious subject matter under Sections 102 and 103 of 35 U.S.C., and therefore should be allowed. Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration, entry of the present amendment, and formal allowance of the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 35 is rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. This indication of allowability assumes that claim 34 would be amended to exclude the Fenton reaction byproducts limitation.

Claims 34 and 35 have been cancelled, and the limitations of claim 35 have been incorporated into claims 26 and 27. It is believed that all of the remaining claims now define patentable subject matter.

Art Rejections

Claims 26-34 and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 95/21794 in view of WO 99/58239.

This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claims 26 and 27, the two independent claims have been amended to recite the limitations of claim 35, which claim is deemed to be allowable.

Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '794 in view of WO '239 as applied above, and further in view of Guillard et al., US 6,319,303.

This rejection is respectfully traversed. Claim 36 now includes the limitations of allowable claim 35.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance, and favorable action thereon is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By: /Anne M. Kornbau/
Anne M. Kornbau
Registration No. 25,884

AMK:srd
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\BN\E\epb\Huling1C\pto\2007-08-27AMD.doc